

AVISO

De esta tesis solo se publica una versión reducida que contiene únicamente las primeras páginas.

El resto del contenido es bajo embargo, por solicitud de uno de los interesados en relación con el trabajo.

San Luis Potosí, S.L.P., a 14 de septiembre de 2018.

Dr. Juan Antonio Cárdenas Galindo

Secretario General
Facultad de Ingeniería
Presente.

Por este medio solicito el apoyo de la Secretaria a su digno cargo con el fin de que el trabajo de tesis de maestría en Ingeniería Mecánica del estudiante Oscar Eduardo Alcocer Escamilla, titulado: "Banco de pruebas virtual para predicción de desempeño de intercambiadores de calor basado en la dinámica de fluidos computacional", pueda mantenerse bajo reserva y evitar su divulgación en el sistema de bibliotecas y medios electrónicos de la universidad, por un espacio de 8 años. Esto último derivado del acuerdo de confidencialidad firmado entre la Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí y la empresa Valeo Sistemas Eléctricos S.A. de C.V. Bajo el esquema mencionado el documento contiene información sensible y altamente confidencial, la cual se considera como secreto industrial. Por tal motivo se hace entrega del ejemplar impreso, así como del ejemplar en formato digital en sobre cerrado correspondientes a la biblioteca.

Sin otro particular, quedo atento a cualquier detalle adicional con respecto a la presente y agradezco de antemano su amable atención.

Atentamente

Dr. Ricardo Romero Méndez
Asesor de tesis



UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA
DE SAN LUIS POTOSÍ
FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA
SECRETARÍA GENERAL

ccp. Dr. Dirk Frederik De Lange – Coordinador Académico del Posgrado en Ingeniería Mecánica

ccp. Archivo

24 SEP 2018



Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí

Facultad de Ingeniería

Centro de Investigación y Estudios de Posgrado

“Banco de Pruebas Virtual para predicción de desempeño de intercambiadores de calor basado en la dinámica de fluidos computacional”

T E S I S

Que para obtener el grado de:

Maestro en Ingeniería Mecánica con Opción Terminal en Termofluidos

Presenta:

Ing. Oscar Eduardo Alcocer Escamilla

Asesor:

Dr. Ricardo Romero Méndez

Co-asesor:

M.C. Youssef Beddadi

San Luis Potosí, S. L. P.

Septiembre de 2018



Before to reading:

This document contains highly confidential information. The incorrect use of this document will be responsibility of the document reader.

VTS TPT and UASLP do not allow the publication or duplication of this document.

If a copy is required, please ask for it to the owner of the information contained in the document (Valeo VTS); being understood that a confidential agreement holds between the owner and the reader.

Index

List of Figures	IX
List of Tables	XIV
Dedication	XVII
Acknowledgements	1
Nomenclature	2
Introduction	5
General Objective	8
Specific objectives	8
Chapter 1. Automotive heat exchanger and fluid dynamics background	9
1.1. – General Background	9
1.1.1. – Radiator: Automotive heat exchanger	9
1.1.2. – Simulation software	10
1.1.2.1. – Star CCM+™	10
1.1.2.2. – Star CCM+™ Numerical methodology and solver	10
1.1.2.2.1. – FVM as numerical method	11
1.1.2.2.2. – Segregated flow discretization and solver	12
1.1.2.2.3. – SIMPLE Algorithm	13
1.1.2.2.4. – Gauss-Seidel as internal solver	14
1.1.2.2.5. – Convergence Accelerator Method	14
1.1.2.3. – Star CCM+™ Porous media assumption	15
1.1.2.3.1. – Porous media definition	15
1.1.2.3.2. – Superficial velocity assumption	15
1.1.2.3.3. – Darcy law for porous media	15
1.1.2.4. – Star CCM+™ mesh setups	16
1.1.2.4.1. – Finite volume mesh	17
1.1.2.4.2. – Polyhedral mesher	17
1.1.2.4.3. – Prism layer mesher	18
1.1.2.4.4. – Extruder mesher	18
1.1.3. – Dymola™	19
1.1.3.1. Dymola model for brazed radiator and heater core	19

1.1.4. – CATIA V5™	19
1.1.5. – Physics	20
1.1.5.1. – Fluid mechanics	20
1.1.5.1.1. – Study approach: statistical and continuum approach	20
1.1.5.1.2. – Eulerian and lagrangian reference frames	21
1.1.5.1.3. – Conservation of mass equation	21
1.1.5.1.4. – Conservation of momentum equation	22
1.1.5.1.5. – Navier-Stokes equations	22
1.1.5.2. – Turbulence	22
1.1.5.3. – RANS equations	23
1.1.5.3.1. – Reynolds decomposition	24
1.1.5.3.2. – RANS equation derivation	24
1.1.5.3.3. – Dyadic deformation tensor	25
1.1.5.4. – Reynolds stress tensor	26
1.1.5.4.1. – Definition	26
1.1.5.4.3. – Elements of the kinetic theory of the gases	26
1.1.5.5. – Turbulence Models	27
1.1.5.5.1. – Turbulent viscosity models	27
1.1.5.5.2. – Algebraic models	28
1.1.5.5.2.1. – Uniform turbulent viscosity model	28
1.1.5.5.2.2. – Mixing length model	28
1.1.5.5.2.3. – Turbulent kinetic energy models	29
1.1.5.5.2.4. – Two equation Models: $k - \epsilon$	29
1.1.5.5.2.5. – Two equation model: $k - \omega$ SST	31
Chapter 2. Numerical simulation methodology used in the modeling of heat exchangers	34
2.1. – Numerical Simulation Methodology	34
2.1.1. – Current simulation workflow	35
2.1.1.1. – Java interface as software development	35
2.1.1.2. – Physics setups used for the simulations	37
2.1.2. – Dymola as porous media approach	38
2.1.3. – Dymola as pressure drop predictor	40

2.1.3.1. – Simulation conditions	40
2.1.3.1.1. – Surface preparation	40
2.1.3.1.2. – Boundary conditions	43
2.1.3.1.4. – Mesh parameters	44
2.1.4. – Periodic domain and tube with extrusion simulation workflow	45
2.1.5. – CFD as porous media approach	45
2.1.5.1. – Tube with extrusions as porous media approach	45
2.1.5.1.1. – Surface preparation	46
2.1.5.1.2. – Boundary conditions	48
2.1.5.1.4. – Mesh parameters	49
2.1.5.2. – Periodic domain as porous media approach	50
2.1.5.2.1. – Surface preparation	50
2.1.5.2.2. – Boundary conditions	52
2.1.5.2.4. – Mesh parameters	54
2.1.6. – Periodic domain as pressure drop predictor	56
2.1.6.1. – Simulation conditions	56
2.1.6.1.1. – Surface preparation	56
2.1.6.1.2. – Boundary conditions	57
2.1.6.1.4. – Mesh parameters	58
2.1.7. – Complete tube definition workflow	59
2.1.8. – Complete tube definition as pressure drop predictor	59
2.1.8.1. – Simulation conditions	59
2.1.8.1.1. – Surface preparation	59
2.1.8.1.2. – Boundary conditions	62
2.1.8.1.4. – Mesh parameters	63
2.1.8.2. – Java development: Complete tube definition macro	65
2.1.8.3. – Post processing sheet	66
2.1.9. – Porous media correction as temperature function	67
2.1.9.1. – Model used	67
2.1.9.2. – Simulation conditions	68
2.1.9.2.1. – Surface preparation	68

2.1.9.2.2. – Boundary conditions	68
2.1.9.2.4. – Mesh parameters	69
Chapter 3. Automotive Experimental approach	70
3.1. – Test background	70
3.2. – Test setup	70
3.2.1. – Test bench components	70
3.2.2. – Measurement equipments	71
3.2.3. – Measurement method	74
3.2.4. – Correct measure acquisition	75
3.2.5. – Power dissipation calculation	75
3.2.6. Test results presentation	76
3.3. – Test procedure	77
3.4. – Test data treatment and uncertainty	81
3.4.1. – Experiment data obtained as simulation inputs	81
3.4.2. – Uncertainty quantification	81
Chapter 4. Simulation and test results and discussions	83
4.1. – Experimental results	83
4.1.1. – Test results and data treatment	84
4.1.1.1. – E2UL heat exchanger	84
4.1.1.2. – EA288 heat exchanger	85
4.1.1.3. – BSUV HTR heat exchanger	87
4.1.1.4. – VS40 heat exchanger	88
4.1.1.5. – Euro 6 heat exchanger	90
4.1.1.6. – BSUV LTR heat exchanger	92
4.1.1.7. – W167 heat exchanger	93
4.1.2. – Uncertainty quantification results	94
4.2. – Simulation results	95
4.2.1. – Geometry study results	95
4.2.2. – Dymola methodology results	99
4.2.2.1. – Porous media obtained using Dymola	100
4.2.2.1.1. – EA288 porous media estimation	100

4.2.2.1.2. – BSUV HTR porous media estimation _____	100
4.2.2.1.3. – VS40 porous media estimation _____	101
4.2.2.1.4. – H61L porous media estimation _____	102
4.2.2.1.5. – E2UL porous media estimation _____	102
4.2.2.2. – Performance (pressure drop) results _____	103
4.2.2.2.1. – EA288 pressure drop results _____	103
4.2.2.2.2. – BSUV HTR pressure drop results _____	103
4.2.2.2.3. – VS40 pressure drop results _____	104
4.2.2.2.4. – H61L pressure drop results _____	104
4.2.2.2.5. – E2UL pressure drop results _____	105
4.2.3. – Results summary and discussion _____	105
4.2.3. – Porous media methodology results _____	107
4.2.3.1. – Tube with Extrusion porous media approach results _____	107
4.2.3.2. – Periodic domain porous media approach results _____	115
4.2.3.2.1. – H61L porous media estimation using periodic domain _____	115
4.2.3.2.2. – EA288 porous media estimation using periodic domain _____	116
4.2.3.2.3. – BSUV HTR porous media estimation using periodic domain _____	116
4.2.3.2.4. – VS40 porous media estimation using periodic domain _____	117
4.2.3.2.5. – E2UL porous media estimation using periodic domain _____	117
4.2.3.2.6. – Periodic domain vs Dymola comparison and result discussion _____	118
4.2.3.3. – Performance (pressure drops) results using periodic domain _____	121
4.2.3.3.1. – H61L pressure drop results _____	121
4.2.3.3.2. – EA288 pressure drop results _____	122
4.2.3.3.3. – BSUV HTR pressure drop results _____	122
4.2.3.3.4. – VS40 pressure drop results _____	123
4.2.3.3.5. – E2UL pressure drop results _____	123
4.2.3.4. – Results summary and discussion of the Tube with extrusion and Periodic domain methodologies _____	124
4.2.4. – Porous media coefficients correction study results _____	125
4.2.5. – Complete tube definition methodology results _____	127
4.2.5.1. – E2UL Complete tube definition pressure drop results _____	127
4.2.5.2. – EA288 Complete tube definition pressure drop results _____	128

4.2.5.3. – BSUV HTR Complete tube definition pressure drop results	129
4.2.3.4. – VS40 Complete tube definition pressure drop results	129
4.2.3.5. – Euro 6 Complete tube definition pressure drop results	130
4.2.5.6. – BSUV LTR Complete tube definition pressure drop results	131
4.2.5.7. – W167 Complete tube definition pressure drop results	132
4.2.5.8. – Results summary and discussion	132
4.2.6. – Comparison and discussion between turbulence models	135
4.3. – Comparison and discussion between methodologies	138
Conclusion	140
Automotive experimental approach	140
Porous media approach (DYMOLA and periodic domain)	140
Complete tube definition approach	140
References	142
A. Appendix	148
A.1. – Dimensional analysis for the porous media coefficients	148
A.2. – Tube with extrusion and periodic domain script	149
A.3. – Complete tube definition script	152
A.4. – E2UL Experiment data	154
A.5. – EA288 Experiment data	159
A.6. – BSUV HTR Experiment data	161
A.7. – VS40 Experiment data	164
A.8. – Euro 6 Experiment data	167
A.9. – BSUV LTR Experiment data	173
A.10. – W167 Experiment data	174
A.11. – Paper submitted	177